**EXHIBIT** 

5

Case 1:23-mi-00007-WMR Document 1-7 Filed 02/22/23 Page 2 of 3

JOHN H. BEDARD, JR. Licensed Only In GA and TN

RONALD S. CANTER
OF COUNSEL
Licensed Only In DC, FL, MD, PA, VA

BEDARD LAW GROUP, P.C.

4855 RIVER GREEN PARKWAY SUITE 310 DULUTH, GEORGIA 30096 678.253.1871

www.bedardlawgroup.com

MICHAEL K. CHAPMAN

Licensed Only In GA

JONATHAN K. AUST Licensed Only In GA, NC, and FL

DAVID A. KLEBER
Licensed Only In GA

January 11, 2023

Via E-mail: sarah.baldwin@cfpb.gov

Sarah Baldwin Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Office of Enforcement 1700 G Street, NW Washington, DC 20552

Re: National Credit Systems, Inc.

Dear Ms. Baldwin:

On December 20, 2022, the Director issued his decision denying National Credit Systems, Inc.'s petition to set aside the Bureau's CID. The Director's reasoning for denying the petition mirrors the arguments offered by the Bureau in Cmty. Fin. Servs. Ass'n of Am. v. Consumer Fin. Prot. Bureau, No. 21-50826, 2022 U.S. App. LEXIS 29060 (5th Cir. Oct. 19, 2022). As you are aware, these arguments were rejected by the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit. My client continues to believe that the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit's conclusion that the Bureau's funding mechanism is unconstitutional is correct. Consequently, the Bureau is without authority to expend funds in furtherance of the investigation of my client's business practices.

The Bureau concedes that the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit's decision and its conclusion is significant and places at serious risk the Bureau's authority to operate. Quickly following the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit's decision, the Bureau petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court for review, urging the Supreme Court to hear the case this term. My client agrees with the Bureau's recent representations to the Supreme Court that the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit's "...sweeping holdings threaten the validity of virtually every action the CFPB has taken in the 12 years since it was created – as well as its ongoing activities." My client further agrees with the Bureau's representation to the Supreme Court that the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit decision has "created severe disruption and uncertainty for the CFPB and for the financial services industry" including my client. The questionable validity of the Bureau's ongoing actions, including this investigation, and the severe uncertainty created in the financial services industry, including the uncertainty plaguing my client, weigh strongly in favor of delaying this investigation for a short time until the Supreme Court answers these important questions. The questions are bona fide. Proceeding with this investigation jeopardizes bedrock constitutional protections enjoyed by my client.

Shocking it would be for the Supreme Court to deny the Bureau's *cert* petition. Also quite surprising would be the Supreme Court's refusal to hear the case during the Court's current term. The serious questions raised by the 5<sup>th</sup> Circuit decision are likely to be decided by the Court by the end of June, just over 5 short months from now. A short delay in this investigation would not prejudice the Bureau and would protect my client from unconstitutional investigation by the Bureau. A short delay in this investigation advances the shared goal of the Bureau and my client of ensuring the protection of constitutional safeguards. For these



Sarah Baldwin Page 2 of 2

reasons, my client will not be responding to the CID until the Supreme Court has resolved the serious constitutional questions surrounding the Bureau's funding mechanism. In light of the foregoing, my client requests that this investigation be stayed pending the Supreme Court's resolution of these important issues.

Very truly yours,

John H. Bedard, Jr.

John H. Bedard, Jr. Bedard Law Group, P.C.